

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,517	01/27/2004	Ulrich Bonne	H0006233-0760(1100.124410	1022
HONEYWELL	7590 11/21/2007 LINTERNATIONAL IN	EXAMINER		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD			BERTHEAUD, PETER JOHN	
P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOW	N, NJ 07962-2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/765,517	BONNE, ULRICH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter J. Bertheaud	3746			
Pariod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with ti	he correspondence address			
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONI	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	ctober 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14,16,17,19,20,22-30 and 36-54</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖾	Claim(s) <u>15,18 and 21</u> is/are objected to.	•				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	cted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•	about d			
. * ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eivea.			
Attachmei	• •	-				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/5/2007 has been entered. It is noted that claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 10-13, 21, 22, 25, 36, 42, and 50-53 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 13 and 50-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 13, the two ends of an electrode (which would be within one layer) are said to have two different thicknesses of film. How is this possible? This claim needs revision in order to agree with the amendments made to claim 1. Examiner maintains that in Claim 50, the phrase "A means for ion pumping, comprising: means for providing an electrical discharge; means for enclosing the means for providing an electrical discharge; is indefinite. This "means" could all be the same element or could be referring to different elements; therefore, it does not distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention.

Art Unit: 3746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 22-30, 36-41, 50, and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reader 3,554,669.

Reader discloses an electric-fluid energy converter comprising a flow channel 16, 20, a non-conducting insulating layer 24; a first conductive layer or material 12 situated on a first side of the insulating layer; a second conductive layer or material 14 situated on a second side of the insulating layer 24; the first conductive layer or material has a prominent like contour 18 or projection into the channel 18; the first and second discharge device electrodes, energized by a voltage, provide an electrical discharge (see col. 3, lines 4-5); and an enclosure 26, 28 containing the channels and having an input port proximate to an input side of the plurality of openings and an output port proximate to an output side of the plurality of openings (see col. 1, lines 20-24). Reader further discloses that a flow direction of the flow channel is approximately parallel to the elongated dimension through the non-conducting spacer material 24, and proceeding from a upstream prominent conductive material or projection 18 to a downstream non-prominent conductive material 22 through the non-conducting spacer material 24, wherein the conductive materials are electrodes (see col. 2, lines 43-45) forming a

discharge device. Reader also discloses that each pumping element of the plurality of pumping elements comprises: a first orifice 16 upstream in a first electrode plate 12; a second orifice 20 downstream in a second electrode plate 14; and a layer of insulating material 24 situated between the first and second electrode plates and having an opening between the first and second orifices (see opening provided by 24 in Figs. 1-2B); and wherein the first orifice has an upstream sharp-like contour (front of 18). Reader also discloses a plurality of flow channels 16, 20 having the first 12 and second 14 conductive materials; wherein the flow channels form an array in a plane and an axis of each flow channel is approximately perpendicular to the plane (see channel configuration in Figs. 1-2B). Reader further discloses that the first portion of a plurality of channels 16, 20 has the first conductive material 12 on a first side of the plane proximate to the input port and the second conductive material 14 on a second side of the plane proximate to the outlet port (see col. 1, lines 20-24). Reader also discloses that the first orifice 16 of each pumping element is capable of producing an ionizing corona due to the sharpness of the front end of 18 in reference to 22; this creates a higher gradient than elsewhere in the flow channel giving the configuration the potential to provide a corona of ions. Reader further discloses that the sharp-like conductor openings comprise a conductive electrode, thin-film material 12. Reader also discloses that the plurality of openings is fabricated by etching (see col.3, lines 31-34). Reader further discloses that the pump is operated like a valve by adjusting an applied voltage across the first and second discharge device electrodes to oppose and balance an external flow and pressure (see col. 3, lines 4-14).

In reference to claims 53 and 54, Reader discloses an insulating layer 24; a first conductive layer 12 situated on a first side of the insulating layer 24; a second conductive layer 14 situated on a second side of the insulating layer; a plurality of openings 16, 20 situated in the first conductive layer, the insulating layer and the second conductive layer forming channels having first upstream and second downstream discharge device electrodes, respectively, wherein the openings 16 in the first conductive layer 12 at the first discharge device electrodes are upstream and are formed by a sharp point (see rear end of projections 18) in the conductive layer; and an enclosure 26, 28 containing the channels and having an input port proximate to the first conductive layer 12 and an output port proximate to the second conductive layer 14; wherein the first discharge electrodes have a configuration to generate in-situ ions to induce a fluid flow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 9-12, 14, 16, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reader 3,554;669.

Reader discloses an electric-fluid energy converter comprising a flow channel 16, 20, a non-conducting insulating layer 24; a first conductive layer or material 12 situated

Application/Control Number: 10/765,517

Art Unit: 3746

on a first side of the insulating layer; a second conductive layer or material 14 situated on a second side of the insulating layer 24; the first conductive layer or material has a prominent like contour 18 or projection into the channel 18; a plurality of openings 16, 20 situated in the first conductive layer 12, the insulating layer 24 and the second conductive layer 14 forming channels having first 18 and second 20 discharge device electrodes, wherein the first electrode has a sharp-like shape at an upstream end, wherein the plurality of openings are grouped into upstream inputs and downstream outputs, and the openings situated at inputs are formed by upstream sharp-like conductor ends; the first and second discharge device electrodes, energized by a voltage, provide an electrical discharge (see col. 3, lines 4-5); and an enclosure 26, 28 containing the channels and having an input port proximate to an input side of the plurality of openings and an output port proximate to an output side of the plurality of openings (see col. 1, lines 20-24). Reader also discloses that the fluid in the enclosure can be transported between the input port and the output port by being forced through the plurality of openings (see col. 1, lines 20-24). Reader further discloses that the sharp-like conductor ends and downstream conductor ends are situated in the first conductive layer, the first discharge electrodes 18 have a configuration to generate insitu ions proximate to the sharp-like conductor ends; the in-situ ions predominantly have the polarity of the sharp-like conductor ends, which then induce a fluid flow of neutral molecules as a result of a force and viscous drag of the in-situ ions and away from the sharp-like conductor ends. Reader also discloses that the plurality of openings is grouped into first and second stages (see Fig. 3); the stages are arranged in a flow

series. Reader further discloses that the openings situated at inputs of the first and second stages are formed by sharp-like conductor ends 34a, 34b; and the openings situated at outputs of the first and second stages are formed by downstream conductor ends 40a, 40b; and the first and second stages are in separate chambers (separated by 44c). Reader also discloses that the first orifice 16 of each pumping element is capable of producing an ionizing corona due to the sharpness of the front end of 18 in reference to 22; this creates a higher gradient than elsewhere in the flow channel giving the configuration the potential to provide a corona of ions. Reader further discloses that the sharp-like conductor ends comprise a conductive electrode, thin-film material 12. Reader also discloses that the plurality of openings is fabricated by etching (see col.3, lines 31-34). Reader further discloses that the pump is operated like a valve by adjusting an applied voltage across the first and second discharge device electrodes to oppose and balance an external flow and pressure (see col. 3, lines 4-14).

In reference to claims 16 and 17; while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, because apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does (Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990)). Thus, if a prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use as recited in the preamble, or elsewhere in a claim, then it meets the claim, as has been shown above to be the instant case.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,517

Art Unit: 3746

In reference to the newly amended portion of claim 1, Reader teaches the claimed invention except for the openings situated at the outputs being formed by downstream non-sharp-like conductor ends. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the downstream ends of the electrodes with non-sharp-like ends, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the component. The Applicant has provided a reason for the upstream ends to be sharp-like; however, there is no reason given as to why the downstream ends of the first and second electrodes has to be non-sharp-like. Therefore, a change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP 2144.04 – IV Changes in Size, Shape, or Sequence of Adding Ingredients).

8. Claims 6-8 and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader 3,554,669 in view of Tennent 6,031,711.

Reader discloses the invention as discussed above. However, Reader does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Tennent.

Tennent teaches the use of nanotube whiskers (fibers) in conducting electrodes for providing an electrical discharge (see abstract and col. 4, lines 1-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Reader by implementing nanotube whiskers into the electrodes in order to increase electrical performance as well as the structural integrity of the electrodes (Tennent, see abstract).

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader 3,554,669.

Reader discloses the claimed invention except for the sharp-like conductor openings comprising 10 to 100 nm-think films and the non-sharp-like openings comprising 100-10,000 nm-thick films of conductive material; and rounded inner diameter edges. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make films within these parameters, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP 2144.04 – IV Changes in Size, Shape, or Sequence of Adding Ingredients).

10. Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader 3,554,669 in view of Fischer 6,583,407.

Reader discloses the invention as discussed above. However, Reader does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Fischer.

Fischer teaches a method and apparatus for ion delivery comprising a first electrode 20 and a second electrode 22. Fischer further teaches a method for controlling fluid flow with the at least one set of first and second electrodes to achieve pulses of sample analyte into a gas analyzer and/or out of a gas analyzer, the gas analyzer being of gas chromatography (see col. 1, lines 15-25 and col. 9, lines 7-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Reader by moving sample

Application/Control Number: 10/765,517

Art Unit: 3746

analyte by pulse into a gas analyzer in order to selectively delivery ions that have a wide range of properties (Fischer, col. 1, lines 15-27).

11. Claims 42-46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader 3,554,669 in view of Henoch 6,106,236.

Reader teaches a method providing at least one set of first upstream 12 and second downstream 14 electrodes separated by a distance; containing the at least one set of first and second electrodes in an enclosure 26, 28 having an input and an output; the first electrode 18 being shaped so as to be suitable for providing a corona of ionization due to the sharpness of the front end (upstream) of 18 in reference to 22; this creates a higher gradient than elsewhere in the flow channel giving the configuration the potential to provide a corona of ions; and applying a DC voltage to the at least one set of first and second electrodes which could result in a corona at the first electrode; and wherein the corona may generate ions to induce a fluid flow in the enclosure (see col. 2, lines 65-72 and col. 3, lines 1-3). Reader further teaches a method comprising completing the at least one set of first 32a and second 40a electrodes to ignite the discharge with a second set of electrodes 32b, 40b that could generate an ion drift. Reader also teaches a method wherein a negative electrode attracts mostly positive and heavy ions, a positive electrode attracts mostly negative ions, generated by an attachment of electrons which is a lower-energy process than a process of removing an electron from a neutral molecule (see col. 2, lines 55-69), and adjusting the voltages to provide valve-like control of the fluid flow (see col. 3, lines 4-14). However, Reader does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Henoch.

Henoch teaches a fluid conduit comprising a first electrode 12 and a second electrode 14. Henoch further teaches a method for applying and using an AC voltage 16 to generate ions in an electroless operation and a DC field 24 to accelerate the ions of the fluid in the direction of a desired flow (see col. 2, lines 54-66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Reader by using an AC voltage as well as a DC voltage in order to reduce flow friction (Henoch, col. 2, lines 54-66).

12. Claims 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reader 3,554,669 in view of Henoch 6,106,236 and in further view of Fischer 6,583,407.

Reader in view of Henoch discloses the invention as discussed above. However, Reader in view of Henoch does not teach the following claimed limitations taught by Fischer.

Fischer teaches a method and apparatus for ion delivery comprising a first electrode 20 and a second electrode 22. Fischer further teaches a method for controlling fluid flow with the at least one set of first and second electrodes to achieve pulses of sample analyte into a gas analyzer and/or out of a gas analyzer, the gas analyzer being of gas chromatography (see col. 1, lines 15-25 and col. 9, lines 7-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the pump of Reader in view of Henoch by

Art Unit: 3746

moving sample analyte by pulse into a gas analyzer in order to selectively delivery ions that have a wide range of properties (Fischer, col. 1, lines 15-27).

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 15, 18, and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 10/5/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 15. In response to Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims 22, 25, and 36: Examiner maintains that projection or contour 18 in Reader does indeed have a sharp-like or prominent upstream projection. Just because the front side of 18 does not come to a point does not mean it is not "sharp-like" or prominent. Furthermore, the flow in Reader most definitely goes from an upstream prominent conductive material (see front of 18) to a downstream non-prominent conductive material (see front of 22). Therefore, the reference still reads on the claims.
- 16. In response to Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 53: Reader does indeed disclose that the openings in the first conductive layer 12 are formed by a sharp

Art Unit: 3746

point in the conductive layer. The sharp points of 12 are still in the first conductive layer and they form openings upstream of 22. Thus, Reader reads on the claim.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Bertheaud whose telephone number is (571) 272-3476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PJB

1/1-1/07